

## **PUBLIC QUESTIONS TO COUNCIL – 30 SEPTEMBER 2016**

**Question from Mr P McKay, Leominster**

### **Question 1**

#### **Community representation as part of the Strategic Development Plan (SDP)**

Fathoming out why there was a lack of community input into appropriate s.106 planning obligations in recent 20+ dwelling planning decision in parish that had lodged objection, with several other housing sites in its Neighbourhood Plan, and putting this down to absence of guidance in the SDP when there has been no developer initiated pre-application consultation regarding these in-conformance with chapter 1.8 of the SDP, with the effect being that the absence of any guidance denied the community of any input, and then finding that the latest s.106 agreements contain a clause to effect that should the Community Infrastructure Levy become payable that this would replace agreed s.106 payments.

May I ask for confirmation that in absence of any developer initiated consultation that consideration of appropriate planning obligations is not closed to community but open for community representations to be made as part of consideration of the planning application within the published consultation period, and if guidance regarding this could be added to the SDP, making it clear that submitting this information should the development be approved would not prejudice any objection to the development raised by the community, or if the introduction of the Community Infrastructure Levy is so imminent that clarifying this issue would no longer serve any practical purpose and ought look forward to receipt of Community Infrastructure Guidance ?